**1. Purpose**

This procedure intends to establish a system for certification decision, grant extension of scope, modification/ amendment/ changes/ renewal/ reduction/ suspension/ withdrawal, and transfer of certification related to organic standards/schemes in compliance with ISO 17065: 2012 Standard.

**2. Scope**

The procedure is applicable to organic certification as per regulations (EU) 2018/848.

**3. References**

ASPL-CD-QM-01 (EU) Quality Manual

**4.1 Responsibilities**

Quality Manager together with other member of the certification committee shall be responsible for grant of the certification decision, renewal, extension and amendment/ changes of scope.

Certification Manager shall be sole responsible for authorization for approving suspension, reduction and withdrawal of certificates on basis of recommendations of certification decision committee which shall be binding.

COO shall be responsible for signing of the certificate of compliance.

Designated Technical Reviewer (a designated senior audit manager) shall be responsible for technical review. As a member of certification decision committee, he/she shall participate in the certification decision.

**4.2 Certification Decision Apparatus**

All certification decisions shall be reviewed and granted by the Certification Committee designated and constituted for the purpose. It shall retain authority of its decisions relating to certification, including the granting, maintaining, recertifying/ surveillance, expanding and reducing the scope of the certification, and suspending or withdrawing the certification.

The Certification Committee constitutes of the following 03 members-

1. COO
2. Quality Manager
3. Certification Manager

Certification Committee members shall not be involved in the audit and technical review process of the site for which the certification decision is required to be taken.

Presence of the COO or a competent technical person is must for decision i.e. issuance or grant of the certificate. This is required for the technical aid in the decision making.

Presence of the Certification Manager or a competent technical person is necessary:

- in case of extension of scope/services where existing members do not have the expertise to arrive at decisions.

- in case of special investigations/decisions like reviews, reduction/suspension/withdrawal and other matters related to certification decision.

Quality Manager are responsible to independently evaluate (onsite/ offsite) the reputation, past history of adherence to legal and safety requirements, recent issues/ incidents, product recall/withdrawal issues and commitment of management towards using relevant sources.

**5. Procedure of issue / grant of certificate**

**5.1 Criteria for grant of certificate**

**A- Handling of the Query:**

**Operator / Groups of operators seeking for the certification as per the Regulations (EU) 2018/848 for Category A, D and E write a mail to the business development team and business development department register the query in the client data sheet and with in the three working days business development department sent the application packet as per the certification category for Regulations (EU) 2018/848.**

**After receiving the filled application and OMP with the associated documents forwarded to the Initial Technical Reviewer for the review.**

**B- Review of the Application:**

**Intial Technical Reviewer review the application as per the preventive and precautionary measures at every stage of the production, preparations and distribution (ASPL-CD-PR-01-Application Review) As per the application and organic management plan initial technical reviewer review the Regulations (EU) 2018/848.**

**Any clarification required during the review of the application and organic management plan then Initial Technical Reviewer write to the business development department and business development department sent the clarification to the operator or group of operators.**

**After receiving the clarification form the operator or groups of operators , business development department forwarded to the Initial Technical Reviewer for review.**

**Initial Technical Reviewer comes in the conclusion that application os suiated for the certification or not situated for certification as per the requirements of the regulations (EU) 2018/848 confirms to the business development department.**

**Business development department confirm to the operator / groups of operators the status of the application. If application approved then business development department sent the proposal and certification agreement for the duly signed.**

**After receiving the signed proposal and certification agreement then inform to the Audit planning department for the execution of the audit.**

**C- Execution of the Audit-**

**Audit manager will see the competence as per the auditor before assigne for the audit as per the ASPL-CD-PR-11 –Competence requirements of the auditors and other personnel involved in the specific certification function, ASPL-CD-PR-21 (EU) – Competence Demonstration Process, ASPL-CD-PR-23 (EU) – Determination of competence criteria. Audit manager will execute planning as per the ASPL-CD-PR-12 (EU) –Audit Planning Process , ASPL-CD-PR-13 (EU) – Scheduling Process and ASPL-CD-PR-14(EU) – Risk Based Inspection Procedure.**

**Audit Manager give the assignment letter to the assigned auditor.**

**Every year audit manager will insure that re-inspect at least 5 % of the members of a group of operators, but not less than 10 members. Where the group of operators has 10 members or less, all members shall be re-inspected.**

**The physical on-the-spot inspection and the sampling shall be carried out by the auditor at the most appropriate times in order to verify compliance on critical control points.**

**For the high-risk products audit manager will carry out, at least, two physical on-the-spot inspections per year of operators or groups of operators. One of these physical on-the-spot inspections shall be without prior notice.**

**Audit Manager will ensure that it carries out every year at least 10 % of additional audit of all physical on-the-spot inspections conducted by the audit manager , at least 10 % shall be without prior notice.**

**D- Inspection/ Audit:**

**Auditor will follow the ASPL-CD-PR-15 (EU) –Audit Process for conducting the audit as per the regulations (EU) 2018/848. Auditor need verify the implementations of the Regulations (EU) 2018/848. Auditor will determined taking into account the following elements:**

1. **Where the holding includes non-organic or in-conversion production units, the verification of the records and of the measures or procedures or arrangements in place to ensure the clear and effective separation between organic, in- conversion and non-organic production units as well as between the respective products produced by those units, and of the substances and products used for organic, in-conversion and non-organic production units. Such verification shall include checks on parcels for which a previous period was recognized retroactively (ASPL-CD-PR-40 (EU)-Procedure for Exceptions and Derogations) as part of the conversion period, and checks on the non-organic production units;**
2. **where organic, in-conversion and non-organic products are collected simultaneously by operators, are prepared or stored in the same preparation unit, area or premises, or are transported to other operators or units, the verification of the records and of the measures, procedures or arrangements in place to ensure that operations are carried out separated by place or time, that suitable cleaning measures and measures to prevent substitution of products are implemented, that organic products and in-conversion products are identified at all times, that organic, in-conversion and non-organic products are stored, before and after the preparation operations, separated by place or time from each other, and that traceability of each lot from the individual land parcels to the collection centre has been ensured.**
3. **The type, size, including newly added land parcels, and structure of the operators and groups of operators, as well as the number of new members joining the group of operators.**
4. **location and complexity of the activities or operations of operators and groups of operators.**
5. **The length of time during which operators and groups of operators have been involved in organic production, preparation and distribution.**
6. **The results of the controls performed in accordance with this Article, in particular as regards compliance with Regulation (EU) 2018/848; (e) (f) in the case of a group of operators, the results of the internal inspections carried out in accordance with the documented procedures of the system for internal controls of the group of operators; whether the holding includes non-organic or in-conversion production units.**
7. **The type, quantity and value of products.**
8. **the risk of commingling of products or contamination with non-authorised products or substances; (i) (j) the application of derogations or exceptions to the rules by operators and groups of operators; the critical points for non-compliance at every stage of production, preparation and distribution.**
9. **Subcontracting activities;**
10. **whether operators or groups of operators have changed their certifying control authority or control body.**
11. **Any information indicating the likelihood that consumers might be misled.**
12. **Any information that might indicate non-compliance with Regulation (EU) 2018/848**

**E- Post Audit Activity:**

**Auditor submit the audit report to the audit manager and audit manager review the audit pack and forwarded to the technical reviewer. Technical Reviewer review the audit pack and sent the recommendation to the certificatgion manager.**

**7. Every year, the control authority or control body shall re-inspect at least 5 % of the members of a group of operators, but not less than 10 members. Where the group of operators has 10 members or less, all members shall be re-inspected. 8. The physical on-the-spot inspection and the sampling shall be carried out by the control authority or control body at the most appropriate times in order to verify compliance on critical control points. For the high-risk products referred to in Article 8, the control authority or control body shall carry out, at least, two physical on-the-spot inspections per year of operators or groups of operators. One of these physical on-the-spot inspections shall be without prior notice. 9. Where operators or groups of operators run several production units or premises, including purchase and collection centres, all production units or premises, including purchase and collection centres, used for non-organic products shall also be subject to the control requirements set out in paragraph 4. 10. The delivery or renewal of the certificate referred to in point (b)(i) of Article 45(1) of Regulation (EU) 2018/848 shall be based on the results of the verification of compliance referred to in this Article.**

**F- Certification granting /suspension/ reducation / extension and withdrawal-**

* COO shall be sole responsible for authorization for approving grant of certification, suspension, reduction/ extension and withdrawal of certificates based on the recommendations of the technical reviewer, auditor’s recommendations and his own independent assessment.
  + - Certificates may be granted to sites which have had an audit against per regulations (EU) 2018/848 and/or that meet(s) the following criteria as minimum-
    - The audited site has met the requirements of that standard within the scope of certification sought.
    - The site shall also have provided evidence of completion of critical non compliances , major non- conformities and a corrective action plan for the minors and these have been reviewed by Lead Auditor and have been further verified by technical reviewer.
    - The client has a farm and/or manufacturing facility and/or trading facility for primary production, and/or processing and/or sale of organic commodities. Additionally, the audited client (to whom certificate is to be issued) is mandatorily a legal entity or is legally responsible (for example, audited organization is legally incorporated company under companies act).
    - The client shall have completed at least one cycle of internal assessment to indicate the effective implementation of the system.
    - Scope/ activities of client are covered under accredited scope of MS Agroland Services Pvt Ltd
    - The assessment was conducted by qualified competent Auditors/ Technical Experts
    - The information provided by the Auditors is sufficient with respect to the certification requirements and the scope for certification.
    - Auditor has reviewed, accepted and verified the effectiveness of correction and corrective actions for all nonconformities that represent
    - Failure to fulfill one or more requirements of the regulations (EU) 2018/848 or a situation that raises significant doubt about the ability of the client’s system to achieve its intended outputs may lead to the non-certification of the client.

All completed documentation required for Certification shall be maintained. The implementation period of applicable Organic standard shall be as per the regulations (EU) 2018/848.

5.2 **Certification Decision**

Upon successful review of the audit pack and corrective evidences by Technical reviewer,

Secondary Review Report – ASPL-CD-FR-27 (EU) , Technical Review and Certification Decision Report- ASPL-CD-ANX-37 along with following supporting documents are placed before certification committee for its review and decision.

1. Audit report;

2. Comments on the nonconformities and, where applicable, the correction and corrective actions taken by the client;

3. Confirmation of the information provided to the certification body used in the application review;

4. Confirmation that the audit objectives have been achieved;

5. Recommendation whether or not to grant certification, together with any conditions or observations. (Technical Review and certification decision report – ASPL-CD-ANX-37, Committee meeting – ASPL-CD-ANX-36). Certification Manager shall inform the operator about the decision and non-compliances closure reports in the prescribed formats after the certification committee decision. (Non compliances Closure Report – ASPL-CD-ANX-77, Certification Decision – ASPL-CD-ANX-78)

6. MS Agroland Services Pvt Ltd shall make decisions on renewing certification based on the results of the recertification audit, as well as the results of the review of the system over the period of certification and complaints received from users of certification.

7. The client is required to notify MSASPL of all the changes and provide evidence to MSASPL for screening and evaluation. The decision on evaluation of changes will be taken by the certification manager.

8. All the significant changes that may affect scope of certification will be evaluated and surveillance inspection will be carried out.

If the certification body is not able to verify the implementation of corrections and corrective actions of any major nonconformity within 6 months after the last day of registration audit, the certification body shall conduct another registration audit prior to recommending certification. The audit documentation, documentary evidence supporting corrective actions, registration audit and annual audit report, confirmation of the information provided in the application review and auditor’s report on corrective actions is assessed by the COO, and a decision will be made on whether a certificate should be issued. COO shall take the minimum criteria documented in clause 6 of this procedure into account while granting/ declining of certificate. The decision of committee meeting regarding the grant or decline shall be recorded on the Certification Decision Report If the COO grants its decision for issuance of certificate, the decision along with Certification Decision Meeting record shall be passed to Administration for preparation of certificate.

Should certification not be granted outstanding issues will be identified and reported back to the site for further evidence to be provided that corrective actions have been completed and verified.

Should the site not follow this up then the audit report will be issued and the status of **‘Not Approved’** will be recorded.

**5.3 Preparation/Drafting and Release of Certificate**

The Administration /Operation team shall prepare the certificate and print the certificate. If required, the draft certificate shall be referred to COO or Quality Manager to avoid mistakes on the certificate. MSASPL shall provide a certificate to any operator or group of operators . The certificate shall:

* To be issued in electronic form in accordance with the ASPL-CD-ANX-38- EU Model certificate and by using the electric trade control and expert system (TRACES).
* Allow at least the identification of the operator or group of operators including the list of the members, the category of products covered by the certificate and its period of validity.
* The category of products covered by the certificate.
* Certificate period of validity;
* be updated whenever changes occur concerning the data included in it.
* Certify that the notified activity complies with this Regulation.
* Operators and groups of operators shall not place products on the market as organic products or in-conversion products unless they are already in possession of a certificate.

Certificates will be issued with an expiry date of one years in case of EU from the date of assessment and will be maintained provided the conditions of this procedure is kept being maintained. In case where COO is not physically available to sign on the certificate, a nominated authority (as authorized by COO) shall resume the authority of signing the printed certificates.

Invoiced certification charges shall have to be cleared by the audited organization before printed and signed certificate is updated on database and released to client organization representative. MS Agroland Services Pvt Ltd database shall be updated against the holder’s name with Certificate number, the date of issue, the scope and category of assessment. Following update of the MS Agroland Services Pvt Ltd database, the certificate (along with audit report) shall be forwarded to audited organization representative at contact address recorded in the file.

MS Agroland Services Pvt Ltd shall retain ownership of the certificate and in any eventuality of suspension, withdrawal and reduction in scope, the client shall have to mandatorily send back certificate (in original) to MS Agroland Services Pvt Ltd as per the policy and terms of contractual agreement.

**5.4 Continuation or Maintaining Certificate**

Certified clients shall be allowed to maintain certificate based on continued demonstration of effective management system in all respective assessments and in the events of changes/ modification or extension of scope.

**5.5 Extension and Modification in Scope of Certificate**

**5.5.1 Extension or Modification in Scope of certificate on request**

Certified clients, desirous of extension in scope of certificate on existing certificate, shall apply to MS Agroland Services Pvt Ltd Administrator/ Operation Team. Such application shall be then reviewed by COO members for merits in consultation with the audit team for the last assessment. Such reviews shall be undertaken to determine the condition (e.g. Documentary evidences, additional audit etc.) For extension of scope. If an additional audit is required it shall be carried as per procedure laid down in ASPL-CD-QM-01 (EU) to verify changes. This can also be done during the next planned surveillance audit.

Following the grant of extension in scope, Issued certificate (in original) shall be recalled back from the audited client and a revised certificate shall be issued in place in accordance to procedure documented in clause 6.1 and 6.2 of this procedure.

**5.5.2 Modification in the Scope of Certificate due to change in management system** Changes to management system shall be reviewed by COO which will further evaluate the need for reassessment of a certified client in the event of changes significantly affecting the organization’s Management System (such as the legal, commercial, organizational status or ownership, organization and management (e.g. key managerial, decision-making or technical staff), contact address and sites, scope of operations (process change) under the certified management system and major changes to the management system and processes.

Following the grant of modification in scope, Issued certificate (in original) shall be recalled back from the audited client and a revised certificate shall be issued in place in accordance to procedure documented in clause 6.1 and 6.2 of this procedure.

**5.5.3 Modification due to changes in Certification requirements/process**

When the Accreditation Body revises the requirements of certification body, MS Agroland Services Pvt Ltd shall follow transition plan as notified by Accreditation Body for the changeover with agreed time frame.

Technical Head shall send due notice of any changes it intends to make in its requirements for certification to its client and the accreditation body. The views expressed by interested parties are considered before deciding on the precise form and effective date of such changes. Based on the publication of the changed requirements, MS Agroland Services Pvt Ltd shall verify that each client carries out any necessary amendments to its procedure within reasonable time. Based on the changes expected Quality Manager shall decide the actions like a special audit as per documented procedure After successful completion of audit, decisions would be taken according to clause 6.1 and 6.2 of this procedure.

**5.4 Issuance of Certificate in case of Recertification/ surveillance/ annual audits:**

Recertification audit refers to the surveillance (annual) physical audits.

Upon completion of recertification audit, technical review shall take place and process of certification (point 5 i.e. 5.1-5.3) remains same i.e. to be repeated after each recertification audit. The certificate shall be renewed only after satisfactory completion of the process as outlined in Audit process and also additional fulfilling the conditions stated in MS Agroland Services Pvt Ltd Operating Manual. The scope certificate is renewed after successful completion of these audits, this also takes in to account the results of any additional controls as discussed under the operating manual. Certification expiry date will be one year .

**6. Procedure for Suspension, Withdrawal and Reduction in Scope of Certificate**

**6.1 Procedure for suspension of Certificate**

MS Agroland Services Pvt Ltd shall suspend certification in cases where–

1. Clients certified Organic has persistently or seriously failed to meet certification requirements for effectiveness of the management system,

2. Certified client does not allow surveillance or recertification audits to be conducted within 3 months of due date,

3. In the events of complaints

4. Certified client voluntarily request for a suspension.

5. Non-payment issues

6. Misuse of Accreditation Body or MS Agroland Services Pvt Ltd ’s certification Marks/logos.

Suspensions shall be authorized by COO and clients shall be notified in writing with reason and implication of suspension.

The standard period of suspension would be six months in which the client has to fulfill all conditions for revocation of suspension. On fulfillment of imposed conditions by the suspended sites within the six months timeline, the corrective action shall be reviewed by Quality Manager and pass his/her recommendation to revoke suspension or initiate withdrawal rules depending upon outcome of the review. In case of the failure on the part of the client to satisfy the conditions imposed for reinstatement of certification status, MS Agroland Services Pvt Ltd shall withdraw or reduce the scope of the certification based on the gravity of situation (refer to Procedure for Suspension and withdrawal further).

Under imposition of suspension-

1. Client’s management shall be rendered temporarily invalid

2. In the period of suspension, client shall refrain from further promotion of its certification (as per terms and conditions of the contract agreement)

3. Suspended status of the client shall be made publicly accessible (e.g. updated on website etc.)

**6.2 Procedure for Withdrawal of certificate**

MS Agroland Services Pvt Ltd shall follow the documented procedure under 7.2 to effect the withdrawal process for certificate on following reasons–

1. Failure to resolve the issues (that have led to suspension of certification) in six months period,

2. Where entire management is impacted indirectly as result of the reduction in the scope.

The withdrawal of certificates may comprise of but may not be limited, to any of the following:

**Routine circumstances:**

Failure to maintain standards identified at the routine surveillance assessment and not corrected by submission of documentary evidence.

Failure to allow a scheduled surveillance visit to be undertaken

Voluntary withdrawal from the MS Agroland Services Pvt Ltd ’s certification scheme.

Failure to pay the appropriate certification fees as agreed prior to undertaking the assessment.

**Extraordinary circumstances**

Complaints regarding the failure of the company to comply with the requirements of the relevant standard

Deliberate, misleading use of the Certificate

Deliberate or misleading claims relating to the scope, or level of Certificate held

The certified client requests a suspension of the certificate

Falsification of any nature

Failure to comply with terms of contractual agreement

COO shall have authority to authorize withdrawal of the certificate on the recommendation of Certification manager. The decision shall be taken based on investigation of Quality Manager. Based on need for decision requirement, Technical Head may advise

COO to authorize for investigative audit. Based on the outcome of such investigation, decision regarding the withdrawal may be initiated and client shall be notified of the withdrawal process. The client shall further be given specified time to take corrective action and certificate withdrawal process shall be initiated in the event of failure to respond with an acceptable programme for corrective action within the time period specified, or to consent to an assessment will lead to withdrawal of the certificate. In the event where certified site is already under suspension for the past six months, no further time shall be given further before initiation of withdrawal. Following withdrawal, the status shall be made public by updating the database. Issued certificate (in original) shall be recalled back and held in the file. The client shall right to appeal and shall be guided for filing appeal process upon request.

Where a client’s certificate has been withdrawn and there has been no rectification of issues within the specified timescale or their certificate has expired, then the only way for a client to reinstate their certificate is by arranging a re-audit.

**6.3 Procedure for reduction in scope**

Failure to resolve the issues (that have led to suspension of certification) in six months period shall result in reduction of scope of certification to exclude the parts not meeting the requirements. Such reductions shall be in line with the requirements of the standard used for certification. In case where reduction in scope is likely impact the entire Organic, reduction shall not be permitted and in this eventuality withdrawal shall be initiated.

COO shall have authority to authorize reduction of the scope of the certificate on the recommendation of Quality Manager

MS Agroland Services Pvt Ltd shall correctly update the reduction in scope status upon request to any party. The reduction in status of the client shall also be made publicly accessible.

7. **Transfer of Certification**

7.1 For transfer of certification from existing certification body to MS Agroland Services Pvt Ltd , the applicant organization shall be required to meet the following criteria-

I. Transfer of certification shall be permissible only for scopes for which MS Agroland Services Pvt Ltd hold Regulations (EU) 2018/848 within such scopes where MS Agroland Services Pvt Ltd is meeting competence criteria (Refer to point 7.1 of this section)

II. The applicant organization shall obtain NOC (No Objection Certificate) from existing certification body. NOC letter shall enable to provide MS Agroland Services Pvt Ltd to conclude that applicant organization have successfully closed all non-conformances (if open from previous audits) and has no pending financial dues.

III. Transfer of certificate shall be considered as the case where the existing certification body is holding accreditation to Regulations (EU) 2018/848 from IOAS or equivalent recognized accreditation bodies. Where the existing certification body of applicant organization fails to satisfy this criteria, application shall be treated as a new application and in such eventualities certification shall be considered from initial stage.

IV. All non-compliances from previous audit shall be successfully addressed and closed out.

V. Transfer of certification shall be considered only in the cases where certification

at time of transfer application is valid (at least 30 days to expiry).

7.2 The procedure shall be operated in the following manner –

1. The application shall be laid for review by Quality Manager. The applicant shall be guided to complete application form and submit following documents (as minimum) – NOC from existing certification Body

A copy of existing certificate

Audit reports (from previous audits), corrective actions, corrective action evidences and other audit related documentation

Any other documentary evidences sought by reviewer

1. Received documentation together with application form (listed in sub-clause 1 above) shall be reviewed by Quality Manager and discuss with the applicant further to determine if any further documentary evidences are required for review decision.
2. Review decision shall be communicated to applicant with justification for acceptance or rejection/ modification within 7 days of submission of all required documentation. In case of acceptance with modification, the applicant organization shall be communicated on the further steps of certification (including the requirement for audit).

4. The applicant, in cases of acceptances, shall be provided with quote proposal and other onboarding documentation like signing of Agreement etc.

5. All post agreement steps shall proceed in the same manner as it takes places in case of non-transfer cases.

6. Data base of certificates issued, suspension, cancellation/ withdrawn, if any client/ operator changes the CB and if any, application from operator for which certificate was cancelled earlier and was listed on TRACES.

7. At any time clients may withdraw from MS ASPL certification through written notification. The client must cease to use all claims of the MS ASPL i.e. logo and name, destroy or return all certificates, labeling and marketing material containing reference of MS ASPL.

MS ASPL may also send the client a Withdrawal Letter, which notifies the client that client has voluntarily withdrawn from certification.

MS ASPL may also discontinue the certification of client due to a lack in the annual re-certification or lack of response within the designated renewal timeframe.

MS ASPL shall send the client a cancellation letter, which notifies the client that client has been discontinued from certification by MS ASPL due to lack in the annual re-certification or lack of response within the designated renewal timeframe.

**8. Records**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Record Name** | **Responsibility** | **Location** | **Period** |
| ASPL-CD-ANX-23 (EU) Data base of certificates issued and withdrawn | Quality Manager | MS Agroland Services Pvt Ltd | 05 years |
| ASPL-CD-FR-27 (EU) Certification Decision Report | Quality Manager | MS Agroland Services Pvt Ltd | 05 years |
| ASPL-CD-ANX-38- EU Model Certificate | Quality Manager | MS Agroland Services Pvt Ltd | 05 years |
| Notices from CU commission | | | |